





panoramic mountain views. Lots of green space and parking. Perfect for people who want privacy

1

2

Different approaches

Quantitative = Validity and Reliability

Qualitative = **Rigour and Trustworthiness**

Role of Appraisal

- Appraisal helps to assess the quality of a study
- *There are always limitations in research*. Do these limitations compromise the outcomes?
- Rigour is a key factor in appraisal
- Importance of research paradigm

4



5

Reliability

• Are your results consistent each time you measure?

- If you measure a blood pressure and get 160/80, then check again in 5 min and get 90/30, your measurement is not reliable (or your patient is in trouble!)
- Results can be reliable across cultures, populations, timeframes
- If we do this again, will we get similar results?

Type of Reliability	What it Measures	Example Statistic	
Stability	Result doesn't change over time	Pearson product moment correlations	
Inter-rater reliability	People with the same experience have the same score	Cohen's kappa	
Intra-rater reliability	A person has the same score if they do they test again (and nothing has changed)	Scott's pi	
Consistency	Do all of these questions fit together as a group?	Cronbach's alpha	

7

Validity



- Are we actually measuring the thing we want to measure?
- Just because a scale is reliable does not mean it is valid

Validity		UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY
Type of Validity	Definition	Why would a study not be valid?
Statistical conclusion validity	Were tests appropriate and identified relationship between variables based on sound analysis and evidence?	 Small sample size Tools not accurately measuring variable Variation in implementation (i.e. not all participants get the same thing)
Internal validity	What happened is the result of the independent variable, not other reasons	Unexpected factors that you can't control
Construct validity	The degree to which a tool measures the thing it is designed to measure	 Hawthorne/observational effect Researcher's interaction with participant can encourage certain responses Contamination not enough difference between control and experimental group
External validity	Generalizability of the results to other people/settings	Phenomenon presents differently in other contexts

Bias

- Factors that influence research decisions, possibly compromising the results
- Can come from both the research design and unexpected events

10

Bias	WEEEIT OF CALGARY
Type of Bias	What Happens
Selection bias	Inadequate randomization procedures
Performance bias	Differences in the way the intervention was received or delivered
Attrition bias	More participants lost from one research group than another (control -v- intervention)
Participant bias	Lack of full disclosure/giving appropriate responses Lack of adherence to study protocol
Conceptual bias	Inappropriate conceptualization of the problem, interpretations of findings, or drawing inappropriate conclusions
Design bias	Faults in any aspect of the research design
Recall bias	Participants with difficulty recalling past events

11

What do I check in a quantitative paper?

- Have researchers reported measurement of reliability (stability, consistency, inter-rater)?
- Have researchers reported any measurement of validity (e.g. construct validity)?
- Is there evidence of minimizing sources of bias or threats to validity?
- Use CASP tools for the appropriate method





How do you tell if a qualitative study is



- There are a wide variety of frameworks and arguments about what constitutes quality
- Use a framework that is appropriate for your method

14

good?

General Principles

- Theoretical/purposive sampling: talk to experts in the phenomenon
- Constant comparison: each finding is compared to other findings
- Concurrent data collection and analysis: data collection strategy adjusts to reflect your findings as you go
- Thick description: Provide lots of detail, context, and interpretation for findings. Use participant quotes.
- Reflexivity: With the principle of "researcher as instrument", reflect on the process you are having on the research... and that the research is having on you
- Audit trail: keep a record of your research decisions

Term	Definition	Sample Techniques
Credibility	Identify patterns and take account of the complexity of the situation	 Prolonged engagement at site Peer debriefing Triangulation Member checks Constant comparison- compare each finding to all other findings
Transferability	Findings are interpretive of a given context	Theoretical samplingThick description
Dependability	Findings reflect current reality	Overlap methodsReplicationAudit trail
Confirmability	Findings can be confirmed if process was replicated	Triangulation Reflexivity

16

Other ideas



- Some authors argue that responsiveness and the spirit of the inquiry are more important than specific techniques
- No such thing as eliminating bias in qualitative work

17

What do I check in a qualitative paper?

• Do the participants have experience in the phenomenon?

- Have they spent a lot of time with the topic? (interviews, observations, focus groups)
- Was there an analysis plan or framework?
- Are the findings described thoroughly, with participant quotes?
- Are the conclusions proportionate to the findings?

Reporting Standards



- Appraisal tools look at whether a study is good after it is published
- Reporting standards are guidelines about including information in publications
- Both are too late- need to consider quality all the way through
- Consider when research was published

19





Overall...

- As you read more research, ask "does this seem reasonable? Does this make sense?"
- If you think the answer is no... then it's probably no!



23